


PERSONAL COMMUNICATION RECORD

PROJECT: Duhring Resource Company - Warrants 2752 and 2445CONTACT:
Name: Arthur Stewart of Duhring Resource Company
(Address) _____(Telephone #) (814) 723-3445Contact was made: by telephone by email in person other

SUBJECT OF CONTACT: After leaving messages w/ Mr. Stewart on May 9 and 10, we finally were able to talk. I informed him that I had talked w/ Kathleen, and that she said that the Forest Service wants to continue working w/ Duhring and the DEP to develop mitigation measures for the proposals in lots 7, 8 and 9. He asked what wells are of concern. I replied that the wells in Lot 7 still have wetland issues that need to be resolved, and the wells in Lot 9 still have steep side slope issues that need to be resolved. I added that I had talked w/ Sheldon, and he said the roads were acceptable to him based on grade, but the E&S concerns still remain. I informed Art that I've spoken w/ Bob Maykowski and Bob Gleason, and they would be willing to speak w/ him regarding the wells of concern. Maykowski could talk w/ him regarding the wells in the wetlands once he sees the proposal, and Gleason could speak w/ him regarding the steep slope issues. I added that constructing a road on a 60% ^{side slope} would be extremely difficult, and in most instances would require "bench" construction. He replied

Received by: Date/time: May 10, 2007 2:00 PM

~~The~~ Forest Service may have to build the road to those specs, but he
I thanked him for his time and we said our farewells.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION RECORD

PROJECT: Duhring Resource Company - Warrants 2752 and 2445


CONTACT:

Name: Bob Gleeson - Meadville - DEP
(Address) Bob Maykowski - McKean / Warren(Telephone #) (814) 332-6860Contact was made: by telephone x by email in person other

SUBJECT OF CONTACT: We talked about Duhring's proposed development in Warrant 2752 - Lot 7 (Wells 7-20, 7-21 and 7-22) and in Warrant 2445, Lot 9 (Wells D-25, D-26 and D-27). The DEP (Gleeson/Maykowski) said that they would be willing to talk w/ Duhring about the DEP's and Forest Service's concerns regarding these aforementioned wells. Gleeson said that the wetland in Lot 7 is considered exceptional value. He said that he doesn't think that the DEP could permit Well 7-20 b/c no buffer would exist b/t the well pad and the wetland. (Note: Duhring has already said it would drop Well 7-20.) He added that Maykowski would take a look @ Well 7-22 to see if it would qualify for a waiver now that Art Stewart has moved it approximately 85 feet. (Note: Originally it was one foot from a wetland.) Regarding the steep slopes in Lot 9, Gleeson said that he could ^{talk} w/ Art Stewart about the DEP's concern regarding the high probability that these sites would fail. I said I would talk w/ Art to discuss this project, and I would direct him to Maykowski to discuss the wetlands and Gleeson to discuss the steep side slopes.

Received by: Paul WaseDate/time: May 10, 2007 1:30 PM

Previously, I talked w/ Bob Gleeson and Ken Scott (DEP-Meadville) on May 8, 2007 as well as during the week of April 30 - May 4. I briefed them on what occurred during the field visit the Forest Service had w/ Duhring on April 27, 2007, and updated them on what wells Duhring wants to still drill. As of May 10, 2007, Duhring had only said it intends to drop Well 7-20. Thus, the wetland issues in Lot 7 and the steep side slopes issues in Lot 9 still exist. We talked about various other issues regarding Duhring's proposal as well.



May 8, 2007 PM

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION RECORD

PROJECT:

Duhring Resource Company - Warrants 2752 and 2445

CONTACT:

Name:

Arthur Stewart of Duhring Resource Company

(Address)

(Telephone #)

(814) 723-3445Contact was made: by telephone by email in person other

SUBJECT OF CONTACT: Mr. Arthur Stewart returned a message I left him earlier in the day. He said he wanted to get the Forest Services approval to finalize Duhring's proposal. He said that the Forest Service's concerns have been mitigated by the changes Duhring has made to its proposal. He highlighted the point that he moved Well 7-22 85 feet as I suggested. I replied that I ask him to move it as far as possible away from the wetland. Since the original location was 1 foot from a wetland, now the site is still only 86 feet from the wetland, and this doesn't even take into consideration the well pad. Thus, Well 7-22 is still too close (~50 feet) from the wetland, which doesn't meet the 100 feet from a wetland > locre requirement w/o a waiver. I added that Well 7-21, as proposed, wouldn't have a buffer to the wetland. Consequently, the Forest Service still has concerns w/ these sites, as does the DEP. I added that the Forest Service would like to work w/ the DEP and Duhring to develop mitigation measures for his overall proposal. I added that the DEP and the Forest Service still have concerns w/ the steep slopes where the wells in Lot 9 are being proposed. Mr. Stewart

Received by:

Paul Morse

Date/time:

May 3, 2007~ 8:30 PM

Said he worked w/ Sheldon Winters to relocate the roads leading to these well sites, so he thought the Forest Service was OK w/ these wells. I replied that when we met on April 27, 2007, that both parties agreed that no one agreed to anything that day. I added that Sheldon worked w/ him to locate the best possible locations for the roads, however, that doesn't eliminate the steep slope issue. I said the Forest Service wants to work w/ the DEP and Duhring up-front so Duhring doesn't invest in well sites that the DEP may not even permit due to wetland and EIS concerns. I added that the Forest Service wants to work w/ Duhring and the DEP up-front so all parties understand each other's concerns, and hopefully they can be resolved. He asked if I had talked w/ Kathleen Morse since he went out in the field w/ her on Thursday, May 3. I said I had not directly, but I talked w/ Lois DeMarco, Acting Bradford District Ranger, and she had talked w/ Kathleen. From Lois' and Kathleen's conversation, I was directed to inform him that the Forest Service wanted to work w/ the DEP and Duhring on his proposal. He requested that I talk

I replied that Putting in these wells and relocating the private access roads as much as possible to mitigate visual impacts on the North Country Scenic Trail and SR 948, and we appreciate
Chris's willingness to work w/ us to develop these mitigation measures. We then said thank you and our farewells.